

**VULNERABILITY OF BUILDING STRUCTURES TO
SEISMIC HAZARD IN JORDAN**

By

Mazen Tahrawi

Supervised By

Dr. Hassan Saffarini, Prof.

This Thesis was submitted in Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements for the
Master's Degree of Science in Civil Engineering

Faculty of Graduate Studies
University of Jordan

May, 2005

To:

My Mother

My Brothers and Sister

My Parents and Friends

Mazen

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I wish to express my gratitude to Dr. Hassan Saffarini, Professor of Civil Engineering for his guidance, encouragement and assistance.

My deep appreciation also goes to the members of the Building Research Center at Royal Scientific Society for their encouragement and support.

NOMENCLATURE

Normal upper case

A	Area
A_{sh}	Area of transverse reinforcement
A_{tot}	Total floor area
D	Damage
	Displacement of the single SDOF system
E	Modulus of elasticity
E_c	Modulus of elasticity of concrete
E_s	Modulus of elasticity of steel
EI	Section stiffness
EI_{eff}	Effective section stiffness
EI_p	Section stiffness of the pier
EI_{sp}	Section stiffness of the spandrel
F	Lateral story force
F_E	Force acting on the equivalent SDOF system
F_i	Horizontal storey force
G	Shear modulus
H_{tot}	Total building height
I	Second moment of area
M_{cr}	Bending moment at cracking
M_y	Bending moment at yield
M_U	Bending moment at ultimate

N	Normal force
S_a	Spectral acceleration
S_d	Spectral displacement
T	Duration
V	Shear force
V_b	Base shear of the building
V_{be}	Equivalent elastic base shear of the building
V_{bm}	Shear capacity of the building
V_{bcr}	Base shear at cracking
V_c	Shear carried by the concrete
V_{cr}	Shear force at the onset of cracking of a wall
V_m	Shear capacity of the wall
V_s	Shear carried by transverse reinforcement
V_{shear}	Shear strength of concrete wall

Normal lower case

a_g	Maximum ground acceleration (peak or effective)
a_i	Floor acceleration
f	Frequency
f_1	Fundamental frequency
f_c	Cube compressive strength of concrete
f_{ct}	Tensile strength of concrete
f_u	Ultimate strength of reinforcement
f_y	Yield strength of reinforcement

g	Acceleration due to gravity
h	Height of a wall element
h_0	Height of zero moment
h_E	Equivalent height
h_{eff}	Effective height
h_i	Height of the i -th story from the base
h_{im}	Height of the mid height of the i -th story from the base
h_p	Height of the pier
h_{st}	Story height
k	Stiffness of the building
k_E	Equivalent stiffness
k_{eff}	Effective stiffness of the wall
$k_{s,tot}$	Shear stiffness of one storey
l	Length
l_o	Length of spandrel
L_p	Length of plastic hinge
L_w	Wall length
L_x	Length of the building in x -direction
L_y	Length of the building in y -direction
m_E	Equivalent mass
m_i	Concentrated storey mass
q_{fl}	Total floor load
t	Thickness of wall, wall element, pier

x_{cr}	Depth of neutral axes at cracking
x_u	Depth of neutral axes at ultimate
x_y	Depth of neutral axes at yield

Greek upper case

G	Modal participation factor
Δ	Horizontal top displacement
Δ_{be}	Equivalent elastic top displacement of the building
Δ_{bu}	Ultimate top displacement of the building
Δ_{by}	Yield top displacement of the building
Δ_{cr}	Top displacement at the onset of cracking
Δ_u	Ultimate top displacement of the wall
Δ_y	Yield top displacement of the wall

Greek lower case

ϵ_c	Concrete compressive strain at the extreme compressive fiber
ϵ_{ct}	Concrete tensile strain at the extreme tensile fiber at the onset of cracking
ϵ_{cu}	Ultimate compressive strain of concrete
ϵ_s	Strain in the extreme tensile reinforcement
ϵ_y	Yield strain of reinforcement
\square_{cr}	Equivalent curvature at cracking on the bilinear moment- Curvature relationship

κ'_{cr}	Curvature at cracking
κ_i	First mode displacement at i-th story
κ_n	First mode displacement at the n-th story
κ_p	Plastic curvature
κ_u	Ultimate curvature
κ'_y	First yield curvature
κ_y	Yield curvature
μ	Ductility
μ_D	Ductility demand
μ_ϕ	Rotational ductility of a wall section
μ_w	Displacement ductility of the building

VULNERABILITY OF BUILDING STRUCTURES TO SEISMIC HAZARD IN JORDAN

By

Mazen Tahrawi

Supervisor

Dr. Hassan Saffarini, Prof.

ABSTRACT

The presented study addresses the establishment of a seismic vulnerability method on a building-specific basis, accounting for the different structural and architectural aspects of the construction practice in Jordan. Structural vulnerability refers to the damage susceptibility of those parts of a building that are required for physical support when subjected to an intense earthquake or other hazard. The vulnerability is commonly expressed by functions or matrices which can be obtained either by statistical studies of damaged buildings in earthquake-struck areas or by simulations using numerical or analytical models of the buildings.

The suggested approach involves the use of an existing vulnerability method which was developed by Kerstin Lang for the city of Basel. This method was adopted for the evaluation of the vulnerability of existing buildings with regard to an earthquake scenario; this involved the evaluation of residential and school buildings that are of interest and then varying the relevant features of the target building types.

By using this method to assess vulnerability, it was found that building population that is regular in form and which has no outstanding construction defects are not vulnerable to the expected level of seismic demand in the city of Amman .

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
INTRODUCTION	1
1. Background	1
2. Objective	6
3. Scope	6
4. Organization of thesis	7
LITERATURE REVIEW	8
1. Introduction	8
1.1 Damage and loss estimation	8
1.2 Building vulnerability according to categorization	8
1.3 Building vulnerability according to structural analysis..	10
METHODOLOGY	18
1. Introduction	18
2. Vulnerability function definition	18
3. Key words	20
4. Capacity curve of a building	21
4.1 General issue	21

4.2.	Construction of the capacity curve	22
4.3.	Capacity curve derivation for different type of reinforced concrete buildings	23
5.	Identification of damage grades according to the European Macrosiesmic Scale (EMS)	33
6.	Seismic demand	37
7.	Vulnerability function	39
8.	Evaluation method step by step	40
 DESCRIPTION OF BUILDING POPULATION IN AMMAN		42
1.	Introduction	42
2.	Building history in Amman	43
3.	Summary	44
 SIESMICITY DEMAND OF AMMAN		46
1.	Introduction	46
2.	Tectonics of Amman	46
2.1	General	46
2.2	Local Tectonics of Amman city	47
3.	Geotechnical of Amman city	48
4.	Earthquake Response of Structures in Amman	49
4.1	Response spectrum concept	49
4.2	Response Spectra for Amman	49

5.	Seismicity of Amman	53
5.1	Instrumentally Recorded Seismicity	53
5.2	Historical Seismicity	53
ANALYTICAL STUDY FOR BUILDINGS IN AMMAN.....		55
1.	Introduction	55
2.	Models Descriptions.....	56
2.1	Residential building models	56
2.2	School building models	57
3.	Application	61
4.	Programming	61
5.	Results	64
5.1	Vulnerability functions with different soil type	65
5.2	Vulnerability functions with increase strength of concrete.....	67
5.3	Analysis of Results	70
SUMMARY & CONCLUSIONS		72
1.	Introduction	72
2.	Conclusions	73
3.	Suggestions for future work	75
REFERENCES		77

LIST OF TABLES

	Page
Table 1. Building components considered by Scholl [1981].....	12
Table 2. Classification of damage to reinforced concrete building according to European Macrosiesmic Scale (EMS 98)	34
Table 3. Types of soil profile	52
Table 4. Seismic Zones and factors	52
Table 5. Seismic Coefficient C_a	52
Table 6. Seismic Coefficient C_v	52

LIST OF FIGURES

	Page
Figure 1. Component- RMS	3
Figure 2. Component-based damage prediction [after Scholl, 1981]	13
Figure 3. Keywords	21
Figure 4. Types of structural wall systems	27
Figure 5. Location Map of Jordan and its Major Cities	47
Figure 6. Jordan Seismic Zoning Map	51
Figure 7. Jordan Response Spectrum	51
Figure 8. Historical seismicity map of the Dead Sea Transform region according to Abou-Karaki (1987) and Ambraseys et al. (1994).....	54
Figure 9. Plan of residential model 1 in Amman	56
Figure 10. Plan of residential model 2 in Amman	57
Figure 11. Plan of school model 1 in Amman	58
Figure 12. Plan of school model 2 in Amman	59
Figure 13. Plan of school model 3 in Amman	60
Figure 14. Component of methodology	62
Figure 15. Methodology programming by using Excel software	69
Figure 16. Vulnerability Function for Four stories Residential Building in Amman.....	65
Figure 17. Vulnerability Function for Two and Roof Stories Residential Building in Amman	65

Figure 18. Vulnerability Function for Three Stories School Building in Amman.....	66
Figure 19. Vulnerability Function for Two Stories School Building in Amman	66
Figure 20. Vulnerability Function for One Story School Building in Amman	67
Figure 21. Vulnerability Function for Four Stories Residential Building in Amman with increase strength of concrete	67
Figure 22. Vulnerability Function for Two and Roof Stories Residential Building in Amman with increase strength of concrete	68
Figure 23. Vulnerability Function for Three Stories School Building in Amman with increase strength of concrete.....	68
Figure 24. Vulnerability Function for Two Stories School Building in Amman with increase strength of concrete	69
Figure 25. Vulnerability Function for One Story School Building in Amman with increase strength of concrete	69

FEMA, Federal Emergency Management Agency, (2000), "HAZUS 99 Estimated Annualized Earthquake Losses for the United States."

<http://www.fema.gov/hazus/>.

Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA), 1989, FEMA-157, Typical Costs for Seismic Rehabilitation of Existing Buildings, vol. 2: Supporting Documentation, Washington DC.

Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA), 1994, FEMA-74, Reducing the Risks of Nonstructural Earthquake Damage, A Practical Guide. Washington DC.

Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA), 1997, FEMA-273: NEHRP Guidelines for the Seismic Rehabilitation of Buildings, Washington, DC.

Final draft of Jordanian seismic code, (2004), Royal Scientific Society, Jordan.

Hassan, A.F. and Sozen, M.A., (1997), "Seismic Vulnerability Assessment of Low-Rise Buildings in Regions with Infrequent Earthquakes", ACI Structural Journal, Vol.94, No.1, pp.31-39.

Hayashi Y. Miyakoshi J and Watanabe M., (2000), "Seismic Risk Evaluation of RC Buildings based on Kobe Earthquake of 1995, Japan".

Hays, W.W. (1994), "Assessment and Management of risk in urban areas." Paper presented at the first Cairo Earthquake Engineering Symposium on Seismic Risk Assessment, Cairo.

Higher Council for science and technology (HCST) and Royal Scientific Society (RSS), (2004), "Earthquakes Hazards Evaluations and methods of mitigating their environment impact", Jordan.

Hirosawa M., (1995) "Seismic evaluation method for Existing and Damaged Building Developed in Japan". IDNDR International Symposium on Earthquake Disaster Reduction Technology. Santiago, Chile.

Huyck C.K., (2000), "A Comprehensive seismic Vulnerability and loss Evaluation of the state of South Carolina using HAZUS", USA.

International Building Code (IBC), (2000).

Jordan specifications for Building, (1996) second edition.

Kerstin Lang, (2002), "Seismic vulnerability of existing buildings" Institute of Structural Engineering, Swiss Federal Institute of Technology, Zurich.

Kustu, O., (1986), "Earthquake Damage Prediction for Buildings Using Component Test Data," Proc. Third U.S. National Conference on Earthquake Engineering, Aug. 24-28, 1986, Charleston, SC, El Cerrito, CA: Earthquake Engineering Research Institute, pp.1493-1504.

Kutsu, O., D.D. Miller, and S.T. Brokken, (1982), Development of Damage Functions for High-rise Building Components, San Francisco: URS/John A Blume & Associates for the US Department of Energy.

Mahaney, T.F.Paret, B.E.Kehoe, (1993),"The Capacity Spectrum Method for Earthquake response during the Loma Prieta Earthquake,"Memphis.

NEHRP Recommended provisions for seismic regulations for new buildings and other structures, (1993), Part 1&2.

NEHRP Hand book for seismic Rehabilitation of Existing Buildings, (1992), Issued by Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA).

NIBS National Institute of Building Science, (1995), Development of a standardized Earthquake loss estimation Methodology, Washington.

Park, Y-J., H-S. Ang, and Y.K. Wen, (1984), "Seismic Damage Analysis and Damage Limiting Design of RC building," Urbana.

Porter, K.A., G.S. Johnson, M.M. Zadeh, C.R. Scawthorn, and S.J. Eder, (1993) , Seismic Vulnerability of Equipment in Critical Facilities: Life-Safety and Operational Consequences, Technical Report NCEER-93-0022, Buffalo NY: National Center for Earthquake Engineering Research, 365 pp.

Porter K.and Kiremidjian A.," Assembly-Based Vulnerability of buildings and its uses in Seismic Performance Evaluation and Risk Management Decision- Making". Earthquake Engineering Research Center, Stanford, CA.

Prof. Michael Orourlce, (1995), Earthquake Engineering of Buildings Structures, Lecture at Royal Scientific Society.

Saffarini, H.S., (2000), "A review of seismic design recommendations of Jordan", Structural engineering and Mechanics, Vol.9, No.3, pp.257-268.

Saffarini, H.S., Abdel-Fattah, B. and Tayem, A., (1989),"A rational period formula for framed buildings", Dirasat, 16(5), 69-90, university of Jordan.

Schodek, Daniel L, (2004), Structures, Fifth edition, Prentice Hall.

Scholl, R.E., (1981), Seismic Damage Assessment for Highrise Buildings, Annual Technical Report, Open-file Report 81-381, Menlo Park, CA: US Geological Survey.

Scholl, R.E., and O. Kustu, (1984) , “Procedures and Data Bases for Earthquake Damage Prediction and Risk Assessment,” Primer on Improving the State of Earthquake Hazards Mitigation and Preparedness, Open File Report 84-0772, Gori, P., ed., Reston VA: US Geological Survey.

Singhal, A., and Kiremidjian, A.S., (1997), A Method for Earthquake Motion- Damage Relationships with application to reinforced Concrete Frames, Buffalo.

Tahrawi M., (2001), "Rehabilitation Strategies for Concrete Structure". Paper Presented at the "third Jordanian Civil Engineering Conference", Amman.

Turab, (1997), Old houses of Jordan, National press –Jordan.

Uniform Building Code (UBC), (1997).

Yucemen S., (1985), "A Probabilistic Assessment of Seismic Hazard in Jordan". Paper Presented at the "Second Jordanian Geological Conference", Amman.

Seismic vulnerability assessment of urban centers is a challenging issue that needs to be faced accurately for the earthquake risk of large territorial areas. The selection of suitable methods is a crucial aspect that must be treated according to different evaluation processes, depending on the size of the problem and on the available calculation capacities.Â On the basis of this premises, this paper deals with the seismic vulnerability assessment of the historic center of Campotosto, in Abruzzi region. To this purpose, two methodologies are applied at different scales.Â As mentioned before, this hazard can be exacerbated by the presence of side effects.